Introduction to FPGA

And FPGA-related papers in recent systems conferences

Jiacheng Ma

FPGAs are getting increasingly popular.

- Accelerate neural networks, graph processing, image processing, etc
- Deployed by Azure, AWS, Alibaba, etc

Programing FPGAs is designing hardware.

- Unlike talks on W1 and W2
- Fundamentally different than software
 - No instruction
 - No program counter
 - No thread
 - **No**...



| Α | В | Q |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

An Or Gate



| Α | В | Q |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

An Xor Gate



| Α | В | Q |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

A 1-Bit Adder



| Cin | Α | В | S | Cout |
|-----|---|---|---|------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

A Selector (MUX)



| С | В | Α | X |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

A D-Flip-Flop



DFFs as Pipeline Registers



The pipeline design of CVA6 RISC-V processor

https://github.com/openhwgroup/cva6

DFFs are used between different stages

But wait, where's the FPGA?

Field Programmable Gate Array



Figure and table from https://www.rapidwright.io/docs/FPGA Architecture.html



Figure and table from https://www.rapidwright.io/docs/FPGA Architecture.html







Sounds like a weird device. How do you program it?

Hardware Description Languages

Verilog, SystemVerilog, VHDL, ... They are languages not only for FPGA, but also silicon.

Let's use Verilog

```
module example(clk, valid, a, b, o1, o2);
1
     input wire clk, valid;
2
     input wire [1:0] a, b;
3
     output reg [1:0] o1, o2;
4
    reg [1:0] tmp;
5
     always @(posedge clk) begin
6
        if (valid) begin
7
         o1 <= tmp;
8
        o2 <= a + b;
9
       end
10
       else begin
11
         01 <= 0;
12
         o2 <= 0:
13
        end
14
     end
15
     always @(*) begin
16
       tmp = a - b;
17
     end
18
   endmodule
19
```

- Module
- Always
 - With clock
 - Without clock
- Type
 - "wire": outside always
 - "reg": inside always
 - "logic": everything
- Assignments
 - Blocking "="
 - Nonblocking "<="
 - $\blacksquare \quad Nonblocking \rightarrow DFF$
- If-statements
 - Like C
 - $\circ \quad \text{If} \rightarrow \text{MUX}$
- Parallelism

IPs

- → Close-source libraries
 - Like a configurable module
 - May use on-chip non-programmable resources
 - E.g., memory controller, block ram, PCIe

Synthesis

- → A "synthesizer"
 - Like a software compiler
 - Verilog \rightarrow a bunch of gates and wires
 - Mapping to the LUTs, FFs, and MUXs
 - A "bitstream" which configures the FPGA

Reconfiguration

- → FPGA is reconfigurable
 - Program with different bitstream
 - Only one bitstream at a time
 - No context save/restore
 - Kill and relaunch?

I'm a software guy and I'm lazy. Verilog is too complex for me.

High Level Synthesis

Something that translates C/C++/OpenCL/LLVMIR to Verilog. So a software programmer can write hardware.

Cons: slow, resource consuming

Why do we want to use FPGA? Why not use GPU?

Why not use GPU?

GPU works well in some tasks but not all. With FPGA, you can design very specialized hardware. Also, power consumption matters.

If you need very specialized hardware, why not just build a silicon chip?

Why not build a chip?

People are not that rich. Also, silicon fabrication is not fast.

Research on FPGA?

Catapult

How does Microsoft use FPGA to make money?

The Platform

→ M\$ is rich, they build their own boards for the FPGA



| (7,0) | (7,1) | (7,2) | (7,3) | (7,4) | (7,5) | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| (6,0) | (6,1) | (6,2) | (6,3) | (6,4) | (6,5) | |
| (5,0) | (5,1) | (5,2) | (5,3) | (5,4) | (5,5) | |
| (4,0) | (4,1) | (4,2) | (4,3) | (4,4) | (4,5) | |
| (3,0) | (3,1) | (3,2) | (3,3) | (3,4) | (3,5) | |
| (2,0) | (2,1) | (2,2) | (2,3) | (2,4) | (2,5) | |
| (1,0) | (1,1) | (1,2) | (1,3) | (1,4) | (1,5) | |
| (0,0) | (0,1) | (0,2) | (0,3) | (0,4) | (0,5) | |



The Shell and the Role

- → Shell
 - Standard logic
 - same for all applications
- → Role (~23% of resource)
 - Application logic
 - Partial reconfiguration



Software Interface

- → User space driver
- → Per-thread buffer
 - FPGA selects the buffer to read
- → Data-center software support
 - Job scheduling
 - Fault detection and recovery

Accelerating Bing's Ranking Algorithm

- → 7-FPGA pipeline for one task
 - 1 for backup, used when error occurs
- → 95% speedup





Enzian

The future of CPU-FPGA interconnection

A Traditional FPGA...

→ Access CPU-side memory via PCIe

Relatively high latency for small transactions (while accessing memory)



Cache Coherent FPGA!

- → Implementing cache coherent protocols on the FPGA
 - Like a NUMA node; cacheline-grained access with low latency



Implementation of Enzian

→ 1 postdoc, a bunch of PhD students, 6 years



AmorphOS

Now we have an OS for the FPGA...

The Problem

- → User may not fully utilize the FPGA
 - Low resource utilization in data centers
- → Different sized accelerator at different time
 - E.g., at midnight, you may want to use a small one
- → Different number of instances at different time
 - E.g., Tencent's server may be more crowded during the summer break

The solution

→ Use multiple partial-reconfigurable regions on the FPGA



Optimus

Exposing multiple accelerators to different VMs

Host-Centric vs. Shared-Memory

- → Whether there's a DMA engine
- → Catapult/AmorphOS are for host-centric FPGAs
- → How to virtualize an FPGA if it's shared-memory?



The Problem

- → No SR-IOV support
- → VMs share one IOMMU page table

The Solution

→ Divide the IOMMU page table into multiple slices



Coyote A more concrete implementation of FPGA OS

The Architecture

- → Per-accelerator TLB
 - Software-controlled
 - No page table
- → Software-managed memory allocation



Questions?